

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/707,579 | Applicant(s) RUSSEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner William J. Royer | Art Unit 2852 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William J. Royer (PTO). (3) _____.

(2) John Fitzpatrick (app. rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,323,216 A - Mahoney.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative stated that the applied reference does not disclose all the claimed features and in particular the reference fails to disclose continuous motion relative to the substrate passing through the nip portion. The reference appears to move the rollers when no substrate is in the nip portion while the applicant's roller is moved while a substrate is in the nip portion. Applicant's response to the Office action should clarify the claim language so that it is clear that the rollers are moved while a substrate is in the nip portion. Also, the language of claim 1 at line 5, "to more than substrate" should be clarified. The originally filed title is acceptable.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/707,579 First Named Applicant: Steven M. RUSSEL
 Examiner: W. ROYER Art Unit: 2852 Status of Application: Non-Final Rej

Tentative Participants:

(1) John Fitzpatrick (2) W. Royer
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: August 24, 2005 or Proposed Time: 10:00 or at (AM/PM)
at Examiner's Examiner's
availability availability

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej.) | Claims | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|---------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Rej. | 1-9 & 13 | U.S. Patent 5,323,216 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applied reference fails to disclose all of the features of the rejected claims, i.e., "continuous motion",
"relative to the substrate passing through the nlp portion".

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on 8/24/05

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

John W. Fitzpatrick, Reg. No. 41, 018

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)